

Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 9571–9576

TETRAHEDRON

A facile and efficient $ZrCl₄$ catalyzed conversion of aldehydes to geminal-diacetates and dipivalates and their cleavage

G. Smitha and Ch. Sanjeeva Reddy*

Department of Chemistry, Kakatiya University, Vidyaranyapuri, Hanamkonda Warangal 506 009, India

Received 6 June 2003; revised 12 September 2003; accepted 2 October 2003

Abstract—A novel, mild and efficient method has been developed for the preparation of geminal-diacetates and dipivalates in high yields through a reaction of aldehydes with acetic anhydride or pivalic anhydride using Zirconium (IV) chloride as a catalyst under solvent free conditions. Regeneration of aldehydes from the acylals was also achieved using the same catalyst in CH₃OH. $©$ 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Selective protection and deprotection of carbonyl group plays an important role in the multistep organic synthesis of complex natural products.^{[1](#page-5-0)} geminal-Diacetates (acylals) are one of the useful carbonyl protecting groups due to their stability under neutral and basic media as well as aqueous acids.^{[2](#page-5-0)} Moreover, the diacetates of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes serve as important precursors for Diels–Alder reactions[3](#page-5-0) and some industrial uses of these compounds also have been reported.^{[4](#page-5-0)} Hence, methods for the synthesis and cleavage of acylals have received considerable attention. Usually, they are prepared from aldehydes and acetic anhydride using strong protic acids or Lewis acids. Some of these reagents and catalysts that have been employed include sulfuric acid,^{[2a](#page-5-0)} triflic acid,^{[5](#page-5-0)} PCl₃,^{[6](#page-5-0)} TMSCl-NaI,^{[7](#page-5-0)} $ZnCl₂,⁸$ $ZnCl₂,⁸$ $ZnCl₂,⁸$ I₂,^{[9](#page-5-0)} FeCl₃,^{[10](#page-5-0)} NBS,^{[11](#page-5-0)} Sc(OTf)₃,^{[12](#page-5-0)} Cu(OTf)₂,^{[13](#page-5-0)} $Bi(OTf)_3 xH_2O¹⁴$ and others.^{[15](#page-5-0)} Recently WCl₆,^{[16](#page-5-0)} InCl₃,^{[17](#page-5-0)} CAN,^{[18](#page-5-0)} AlPW₁₂O₄₀,^{[19](#page-5-0)} Amberlyst-15,^{[20](#page-5-0)} and $Zn(BF_4)_{2}^{21}$ $Zn(BF_4)_{2}^{21}$ $Zn(BF_4)_{2}^{21}$ have also reported for this conversion. Although some of these methods present convenient protocols with good to high product yields, it is noteworthy that in all but a few examples, these methods involve strongly acidic or oxidizing conditions, require high temperature or catalyst loading, longer reaction times or expensive and highly toxic catalysts. Hence, a practical and more efficient alternative using an inexpensive and environment friendly reagent is still of interest. Zirconium (IV) chloride $(ZrCl₄)$ has gaining prominence in recent times especially as a mild and efficient Lewis acid catalyst and has been used for various organic transformations.^{[22](#page-5-0)} It has not been used for the preparation of gem-diacetates and dipivalates. Recently we have demon-

 $*$ Corresponding author. Tel./fax: $+918702438833;$

strated the use of $ZrCl₄$ as a convenient and efficient catalyst for one-pot conversion of *tert*-butyl dimethylsilyl (TBS) and tetrahydropyranyl (THP) ethers to the corresponding acetates.[23](#page-5-0) We herein report, a chemoselective and efficient method for the formation of geminal-diacetates by a reaction of an aldehyde and acetic anhydride using ZrCl4 as a catalyst under solvent free conditons at room temperature (Scheme 1).

$$
R-CHO + Ac_2O \xrightarrow{\text{ZrCl}_4(5 \text{ mol\%})}
$$
\n
$$
R-CH(OAc)_2
$$
\n
$$
R = \text{arvl, alkvl}
$$
\n
$$
R = \text{arvl, alkvl}
$$

Scheme 1.

2. Results and discussion

In the first instance, the conversion of 4-phenyl benzaldehyde 1a to the corresponding diacetate 1b was carried out with $ZrCl₄$ as catalyst using various solvents like dichloromethane (34%), chloroform (28%) or acetonitrile (88%). However, a high yield of the product (90%) was obtained under solvent free conditions. The scope and generality of the present method was then further demonstrated by converting various aldehyde substrates with different functionalities and protecting groups to their corresponding gem-diacetates ([Table 1\)](#page-1-0). The versatility of the present methodology is well demonstrated with the fact that both aromatic (entries $1-9$ and 13 , [Table 1\)](#page-1-0) and aliphatic (entries 10–12, [Table 1\)](#page-1-0) aldehydes afforded their corresponding diacetates in equally good yields. The acid sensitive substrate furfural 6a also led to the formation of acylal 6b in 80% yield without the formation of any side products, normally observed under strongly acidic conditions. The applicability of the present methodology in multistep organic synthesis was also studied by converting the

Keywords: Zirconium(IV) chloride; aldehydes; acylals.

e-mail: chsrkuc@yahoo.co.in

Entry	Substrate (a)	Time (min)	Product $(\mathbf{b})^a$	Yield $(\%)^b$
$\,1$	Ph CHO 1a	30	$CH(OAc)_2$ Ph $1b$	$90\,$
\overline{c}	CHO O_2N 2a	$30\,$	$CH(OAc)_2$ O_2N 2 _b	$92\,$
\mathfrak{Z}	CHO OMe 3a	$40\,$	CH(OAc) ₂ OMe 3 _b	83
$\overline{\mathcal{A}}$	ЮH Cl ₂ CHO 4a	$20\,$	-OAc C1 CH(OAc) ₂ 4b	84
5	CHO 5a	$25\,$	$CH(OAc)_2$ 5 _b	$88\,$
6	CНС 6a	$20\,$	CH(OAc) ₂ 6 _b	$80\,$
$\boldsymbol{7}$	MeQ CHO BnO- ${\bf 7a}$	$30\,$	MeQ CH(OAc) ₂ BnO 7 _b	$8\sqrt{1}$
8	CHO BocHN 8a	$25\,$	CH(OAc) ₂ BocHN 8 _b	85
9	CHO TBSO 9a	$35\,$	CH(OAc) ₂ TBSO 9 _b	$83\,$
$10\,$	TIPSO CHO 10a	35	TIPSO CH(OAc) ₂ 10 _b	69
$11\,$	CHO Ph ₂ 11a	$45\,$	$CH(OAc)_2$ Ph 11 _b	$72\,$
$12\,$	CHO 12a	$50\,$	$CH(OAc)_2$ 12 _b	68
$13\,$	CHO 13a О	$25\,$	CH(OAc) ₂ 13 _b O	$88\,$

Table 1. Conversion of aldehydes to acylals using $ZrCl₄$

 $^{\text{a}}$ All the products were characterized by ¹H NMR, IR and mass spectroscopy.
^b Isolated yield after purification.

substrates having acid sensitive protecting groups such as benzyl (Bn), tert-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS), triisopropyl (TIPS) ethers and tert-butyl carbamate (NHBoc) to their corresponding acylals without any effect on them (entries $7-10$, Table 1). The chemoselectivity of the present reaction was demonstrated by the conversion of the aldehyde to the diacetate in the presence of a keto group, shown in entry 13.

The utility of the present method is further extended by employing it in the formation of gem-dipivalates. To the best of our knowledge, there is mention of only one reagent system in the literature employed for the formation of gem-dipivalates.^{[15i](#page-5-0)} However, long reaction times $(25 h)$ and elevated temperature $(60^{\circ}C)$ conditions were required. In comparison, gem-dipivalates can be prepared by reacting the aldehydes with trimethylacetic anhydride (pivalic anhydride) in the presence of $ZrCl_4$ (5 mol%) under solvent free conditions at ambient temperature (Scheme 2). The reaction times are shorter and yields are comparable to the gem-diacetates.

$$
R-CHO + Piv2O \xrightarrow{\text{ZrCl}_{4}(5 \text{ mol\%})}
$$
\n
$$
R = \text{cH(OPiv)}_{2}
$$
\n
$$
R = \text{aryl, alkyl}
$$
\n
$$
Piv = (CH_{3})_{3}CO
$$

Scheme 2.

As the present reaction conditions are useful in making both gem-diacetates and gem-dipivalates, we studied a few more examples in a combinatorial fashion as depicted in Table 2. gem-Diacetates and dipivalates are obtained in high yields in the case of aromatic aldehydes having halo or ester functionalities (entry 1-3, Table 2). α , β -Unsaturated aldehyde 17a also proved amicable to this methodology to produce the corresponding dicarboxylates 17b and 17c (entry 4, Table 2).

Further, we have also observed the rapid cleavage of geminal-diacetates with the same catalyst $(ZrCl₄)$ in methanol at room temperature (Scheme 3). A few methods are reported in the literature for the conversion of acylals to their corresponding aldehydes. The reagents employed were sulfuric acid,^{[24](#page-5-0)} hydrochloric acid,^{[25](#page-5-0)} montmorillonite K $10²⁶$ $10²⁶$ $10²⁶$ boron triiodide-N,N-diethylaniline complex,^{[27](#page-5-0)} basecatalyzed cleavage by sodium hydroxide or aqueous potassium carbonate,^{[28](#page-5-0)} CAN coated on silica gel,^{[29](#page-5-0)} neutral alumina under microwave irradiation, 30 phenoxides 31 and BiCl₃.^{[32](#page-5-0)} Recently CBr₄^{[33](#page-5-0)} and CeCl₃·7H₂O/NaI^{[34](#page-5-0)} were also reported for this conversion. Strong acidic and basic conditions, unsatisfactory yields, high reaction temperatures discourage their usage. The present method however is mild, efficient and can be performed at ambient temperature. Thus all the diacetates 1b to 17b were converted to the parent aldehydes in high yields ([Table 3\)](#page-3-0) without affecting the sensitive groups such as OMe, OBn, OTBS, OTIPS and NHBoc in shorter reaction times. In the case of entry 4 ([Table 3\)](#page-3-0), deprotection led to the formation of 4-chloro-2 formyl phenylacetate 4a'. It is pertinent to note the fact that, gem-dipivalates are unchanged under these reactions conditions.

$$
R-CH(OAc)_2 \xrightarrow{ZrCl_4(5 \text{ mol\%})} R-CHO
$$

$$
R = \text{aryl, alkyl} \qquad H_3OH, r.t.
$$

Scheme 3.

Table 2. Conversion of aldehydes to gem-diacetates and gem-dipivalates using ZrCl₄

Therefore, we also investigated the possible chemoselective cleavage of gem-diacetates in the presence of gemdipivalates. As shown in Scheme 4, where a 1:1 mixture of diacetate 17b and dipivalate 16c were subjected for deprotection in the presence of 5 mol% $ZrCl₄$ in methanol at room temperature, after workup the analysis of the crude products consisted of a 1:1 mixture 17a and 16c.

$$
\text{Ph}^{\text{C-H(OAc)}_2} \xrightarrow{\text{I7b}} \text{Li}(O_{\text{Piv}}) \xrightarrow{\text{ZrCl}_4 (5 \text{ mol} \%)} \text{Ph}^{\text{C-HO}} \xrightarrow{\text{OH}_2} (94\%)
$$
\n
$$
\text{MeO}_2\text{C}^{\text{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}(OPiv)} \text{CH}_3\text{OH, r. t.} \xrightarrow{\text{MeO}_2\text{C}} \text{C}^{\text{H}^{\text{C}}_2} \text{CH(OPiv)}_2
$$
\n
$$
\text{16c} \xrightarrow{\text{I6c}} \text{16c} (98\%)
$$

Scheme 4.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a simple, mild and chemoselective method for protection of aldehydes to gemdiacetates and dipivalates. The chemoselective deprotection of diacetates was achieved with the same catalyst. The method may find a wide application in organic synthesis where aldehydes having acid sensitive protecting groups need to be protected. Shorter reaction times are an noteworthy feature of the reported method.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

All reagents were purchased from Aldrich or SD Fine Chemicals and were used without further purification. Crude products were purified by column chromatography on silica gel of $60-120$ mesh. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained in CDCl₃ at 400 MHz and 100 MHz respectively. Chemical shifts are given in ppm with respect

^a All the products were characterized by ¹H NMR, IR and mass spectroscopy.
^b Isolated yield after purification.

Table 3. Cleavage of acylals using Zrcl4

 $^{\text{a}}$ All the products were characterized by ¹H NMR, IR and mass spectroscopy.
^b Isolated yield after purification.

to internal TMS, and J values are quoted in Hz. IR spectra were obtained neat, and only the most significant absorptions in cm^{-1} are indicated and the molecular ions and/or base peaks in MS are given.

4.2. General procedure for the preparation of gemdiacetates and dipivalates

To a stirred solution of aldehyde (1 mmol) in acetic anhydride or pivalic anhydride (3 mmol) was added $ZrCl₄$ (5 mol%) and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for the given time. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with 10% NaHCO₃ solution, brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, evaporated and the crude product purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the corresponding gem-diacetates or dipivalates in excellent yields.

4.2.1. 1,1-Diacetoxy-1-(4-biphenyl)methane (1b). White solid, mp $128-130^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.67–7.6 (m, 6H), 7.48–7.46 (m, 3H), 2.17 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 168.9, 142.8, 140.4, 134.4, 128.9, 127.8, 127.4, 127.2, 127.1, 89.7, 20.9; IR (Neat): 1756, 1233, 1192, 959 cm⁻¹; MS (*m*/z): 284 (M⁺). Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₆O₄: C, 71.82; H, 5.67. Found: C, 71.68; H, 5.68.

4.2.2. 1,1-Diacetoxy-1-(2-methoxy naphthyl)methane (3b). Pale yellow solid, mp $133-135^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$: 8.66 (s, 1H), 7.88 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H), 7.8–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (CDCl₃): 169.0, 156.0, 132.5, 132.0, 129.7, 128.7, 127.1, 125.5, 124.0, 116.3, 113.0, 86.7, 57.5, 21.2; IR (Neat): 1752, 1206, 1008, 947 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 288 (M⁺). Anal. calcd for $C_{16}H_{16}O_5$: C, 66.66; H, 5.59. Found: C, 66.53; H, 5.42.

4.2.3. 1,1-Diacetoxy-1-[2-(acetyloxy)-5-chloro phenyl] methane (4b). White solid, mp $63-65^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J=6 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 169.7, 169.0, 150.1, 135.2, 130.9, 128.2, 128.1, 124.2, 92.1, 20.9, 20.8; IR (Neat): 1756, 1732, 1237, 923 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z) : 300 (M⁺). Anal. calcd for $C_{13}H_{13}ClO_6$: C, 51.93; H, 4.36. Found: C, 51.89; H, 4.32.

4.2.4. 1,1-Diacetoxy-1-[4-(benzyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl] **methane (7b).** Light yellow solid, mp $82-84^{\circ}C$; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$: 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.46–7.36 (m, 5H), 7.08–7.07 (m, 2H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 5.19 (s, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (CDCl₃): 169.3, 148.4, 146.3, 140.6, 134.1, 128.7, 127.3, 127.2, 120.5, 115.1, 114.4, 92.3, 78.2, 56.9, 20.9; IR (Neat): 1754, 1228, 938, 830 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 344 (M⁺). Anal. calcd for $C_{19}H_{20}O_6$: C, 66.27; H, 5.85. Found: C, 66.24; H, 5.78.

4.2.5. 2-(4-Triisopropylsilyloxy phenyl) ethane-1,1-diyl diacetate (10b). Colourless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.08 (d, $J=8$ Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, $J=8$ Hz, 2H), 6.7 (t, $J=6.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.2 (d, J=6 Hz, 2H), 2.15 (s, 6H), $1.29-1.22$ (m, 3H), 1.12 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, 18H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 168.9, 153.5, 134.1, 129.6, 120.1, 84.4, 39.9, 21.1, 17.9, 12.6; IR (Neat): 1736, 1218, 1106, 946 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 394 (M⁺). Anal.

calcd for $C_{21}H_{34}O_5Si$: C, 63.92; H, 8.69. Found: C, 63.84; H, 8.62.

4.2.6. (4-Methyl phenyl)methylene bis(2,2-dimethyl propanoate (14c). Colourless oil which upon standing at room temperature to give colourless crystals, mp $32-35^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.4 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.4 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 18H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 176.2, 133.9, 133.4, 128.1, 126.3, 88.8, 38.8, 26.8, 21.4; IR (Neat): 2974, 1756, 1282, 1115, 928 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 306 (M⁺). Anal. calcd for $C_{18}H_{26}O_4$: C, 70.56; H, 8.55. Found: C, 70.52; H, 8.57.

4.2.7. (4-Bromo phenyl)methylene bis(2,2-dimethyl propanoate (15c). White solid, mp $63-65^{\circ}C$; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$: 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.55 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.23 (s, 18H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 176.2, 134.9, 131.7, 128.1, 123.6, 88.9, 38.8, 26.8; IR (Neat): 2973, 1748, 1281, 1160, 934 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 370 (M⁺). Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₂₃BrO₄: C, 55.00; H, 6.24. Found: C, 54.94; H, 6.18.

4.2.8. Methyl 4-[bis(2,2-dimethyl propionyloxy)-methyl] **benzoate (16c).** Colourless oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 8.09 (d, $J=8.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.72 (s, 1H), 7.57 (d, $J=8.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.95 $(s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 18H);$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 176.2, 166.5, 140.4, 131.0, 129.8, 126.4, 88.7, 52.3, 38.8, 26.8; IR (Neat): 2978, 1752, 1723, 1278, 1106 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 350 (M⁺). Anal. calcd for $C_{19}H_{26}O_6$: C, 65.13; H, 7.48. Found: C, 65.08; H, 7.42.

4.2.9. (1E)-1-Phenylprop-1-ene-3,3-diyl bis(2,2-dimethyl propanoate (17c). White solid, mp $76-78^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$: 7.43–7.25 (m, 6H), 6.84 (d, J=16 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (dd, J=6, 16 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (s, 18H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 176.2, 135.3, 135.0, 128.6, 127.0, 122.1, 89.6, 38.8, 26.9; IR (Neat): 2967, 1762, 1122, 984 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 318 (M⁺). Anal. calcd for $C_{19}H_{26}O_4$: C, 71.67; H, 8.23. Found: C, 71.63; H, 8.19.

4.2.10. Compounds 2b, [5](#page-5-0)b and $6b₁$ ⁵ $8b₁$ ^{[15j](#page-5-0)} $9b₁$ ^{[15m](#page-5-0)} 11b^{[20](#page-5-0)} $12b$,^{[17](#page-5-0)} 13b,^{[14](#page-5-0)} 14b and 1[5](#page-5-0)b,⁵ 16b,^{[35](#page-5-0)} and 17b.^{151 1}H NMR, IR and mass spectral data of these known compounds were identical with the reported data.

4.3. General procedure for the cleavage of acylal

A solution of acylal (1 mmol) and $ZrCl_4$ (5 mol%) in CH₃OH (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature (generally 10–20 min). After complete conversion (TLC), solvent was evaporated in vacuo, extracted with EtOAc, washed with 10% NaHCO₃ solution and brine solution. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporated to give the corresponding aldehydes in good yields.

4.3.1. 4-Chloro-2-formylphenyl acetate $(4a')$. White crystalline needles, mp $\overline{52-54}^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 10.08 (s, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.61 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 187.3, 169.0, 150.1, 135.1, 132.3, 130.4, 129.0, 125.1, 20.8; IR (Neat): 2965, 1712, 1670, 1234, 918 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 198 (M^+) . Anal. calcd for $C_9H_7ClO_3$: C, 54.43; H, 3.55. Found: C, 54.39; H, 3.53.

4.3.2. (4-Triisopropylsilanyloxy phenyl)acetaldehyde $(10a)$. Colourless oil; ¹H NMR $(CDCl₃)$: 9.73 (d, $J=2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 1.29–1.22 (m, 3H), 1.12 (d, J=7 Hz, 18H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 199.8, 155.4, 131.9, 130.6, 123.9, 120.4, 49.7, 17.9, 12.6; IR (Neat): 2967, 1640, 1238, 1134, 921 cm⁻¹; MS (m/z): 135 (M⁺-157). Anal. calcd for $C_{17}H_{28}O_2Si$: C, 69.81; H, 9.65. Found: C, 69.77; H, 9.59.

4.3.3. Compounds 1a to 3a and 5a to 7a, 36 8a, 37 9a, 38 and 11a to $17a^{36}$ ¹H NMR, IR and mass spectral data of these known compounds were identical with those of authentic samples.

Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to Dr S. Chandrasekhar, IICT, India, for useful discussions.

References

- 1. (a) Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Chemistry; 2nd ed. Wiley: New York, 1991. (b) Kocienski, P. J. Protecting Groups; Georg Thieme: Stuttgart, New York, 1994.
- 2. (a) Gregory, M. J. J. Chem. Soc. (B) 1970, 1201. (b) Pinnick, H. W.; Kochhar, K. S.; Bal, B. S.; Deshpande, R. P.; Radhakrishna, S. N. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1765.
- 3. Held, H.; Rengstle, A.; Mayer, D. Ullman's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, 5th ed.; Gerhartz, W. Ed.; VCH: New York, 1985; Vol. A1, p 68.
- 4. (a) Frick, J. G., Jr.; Harper, R. J., Jr. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1984, 1433. (b) Eanderson, W. R. Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 125, 781; Chem. Abstr. 1985, 103, P64010K.
- 5. Freeman, F.; Karcherski, E. M. J. Chem. Engng Data 1977, 22, 355.
- 6. Michie, J. K.; Miller, J. A. Synthesis 1981, 824.
- 7. Daka, N.; Kalita, D. J.; Sarma, J. C. J. Chem. Res., (S) 1998, 94.
- 8. Scribine, I. Bull. Soc. Chem. Fr. 1961, 1194.
- 9. Deka, N.; Kalita, D. J.; Borah, R.; Sarma, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1563.
- 10. (a) Li, Y.-Q. Synth. Commun. 2000, 30, 3913. (b) Kochhar, K. S.; Bal, B. S.; Deshpande, R. P.; Rajadhyaksha, S. N.; Pinnick, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1765.
- 11. Karimi, B.; Seradj, H.; Ebrahimian, R. G. Synlett 2000, 623.
- 12. Agarwal, V. K.; Fonquerna, S.; Vennall, G. P. Synlett 1998, 849.
- 13. Chandra, K. L.; Saravanan, P.; Singh, V. K. Synlett 2000, 359.
- 14. Carrigan, M. D.; Eash, K. J.; Oswald, M. C.; Mohan, R. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 8133.
- 15. (a) Olah, G. A.; Mehrotra, A. K. Synthesis 1982, 926. (b) Fry, A. J.; Rho, A. K.; Sherman, L. R.; Sherwin, C. S. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3283. (c) Bhatia, B.; Punniyamurthy, T.; Iqbal, J. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5518. (d) Kumar, P.; Hegde,

V. R.; Kumar, P. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 601. (e) Pereira, C.; Gigante, B.; Marcelo-Curto, M. J.; Carreyre, H.; Perot, G.; Guisnet, M. Synthesis 1995, 1077. (f) Ballini, R.; Bordoni, M.; Bosica, G.; Maggi, R.; Sartori, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7587. (g) Raju, S. V. N. J. Chem. Res. 1996, 68. (h) Bandagar, B. P.; Makone, S. S.; Kulkarni, S. P. Monatshefte Chem. 2000, 131, 417. (i) Sumida, N.; Nishioka, K.; Sato, T. Synlett 2001, 1921. (j) Reddy, M. A.; Reddy, L. R.; Bhanumathi, N.; Rao, K. R. Synth. Commun. 2002, 32, 273. (k) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Venugopal, Ch.; Ramalingam, T. Synlett 2002, 604. (l) Curini, M.; Epifano, F.; Marcotullio, M. C.; Rosati, O.; Nocchetti, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 2709. (m) Karimi, B.; Maleki, J. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4951.

- 16. Karimi, B.; Ebrahimian, R. G.; Seradj, H. Synth. Commun. 2002, 32, 669.
- 17. Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Srinivas, Ch. Synth. Commun. 2002, 32, 1175.
- 18. Roy, S. C.; Banerjee, B. Synlett 2002, 1677.
- 19. Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Nowrouzi, F.; Amani, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 3951.
- 20. Reddy, A. V.; Ravinder, K.; Reddy, V. L. N.; Ravikanth, V.; Venkateshwarlu, Y. Synth. Commun. 2003, 33, 1531.
- 21. Ranu, B. C.; Dutta, J.; Das, A. Chem. Lett. 2003, 32, 366.
- 22. (a) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Raj, K. S.; Reddy, K. B.; Prasad, A. R. Synthesis 2001, 2277. (b) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Karimi, B. Synlett 1999, 321.
- 23. Reddy, S. Ch.; Smitha, G.; Chandrasekhar, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 4693.
- 24. Lieberman, S. V.; Cannon, R. Org. Synth. 1951, 3, 441.
- 25. Tsaug, S. M.; Wood, E. H.; Johnson, J. R. Org. Synth. 1955, 3, 141.
- 26. Li, T.-S.; Zhang, Z.-H.; Fu, C.-G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3855.
- 27. Narayana, C.; Padmanabhan, S.; Kabalka, G. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6977.
- 28. Kochhar, K. S.; Bal, B. S.; Deshpande, R. P.; Rajadhyakasha, S. N.; Pannick, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1765.
- 29. Cotelle, P.; Catteau, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3855.
- 30. Varma, R. S.; Chatterjee, A. K.; Varma, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3207.
- 31. Ku, Y.-Y.; Patel, R.; Sawick, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8037.
- 32. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, I.; Aliyan, H. Synth. Commun. 1999, 29, 2741.
- 33. Ramalingam, T.; Srinivas, R.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Yadav, J. S. Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 1091.
- 34. Reddy, G. S.; Radhika, R.; Neelakantan, P.; Iyengar, D. S. Indian J. Chem. 2002, 41B, 863.
- 35. Peterson, E. O.; Larsen, R. O.; John, M.; Boerretzen, B.; Oftebro, R.; Ramdahl, T.; Moen, V. PCT Int. Appl. WO9518607, 1995.
- 36. Aldrich catalogue, 2003–2004.
- 37. Rai, R.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 4150.
- 38. Pettit, G. R.; Grealish, M. P.; Jung, M. K.; Hamel, E.; Pettit, R. K.; Chapuis, J. C.; Schmidt, J. M. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 2534.